Multidisciplinary treatment of chronic active Epstein-Barr virus infection with multiple complications: a case report
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Abstract: Chronic active Epstein-Barr virus (CAEBV) infection is a very rare and potentially life-threatening illness caused by long-term EBV infection. Globally, the prevalence is the highest among young children and adolescents with increased frequency in Asians and Native Americans, whereas it is sporadically encountered in European countries. Typically, patients present with nonspecific symptoms such as fever, lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, and liver dysfunction. However, the complications of CAEBV and its treatment are quite complex and require great care. We report a case of a 3-year-old boy with CAEBV infection who was later diagnosed with pancreatic mass and recurrent pancreatitis. A multidisciplinary board was consulted for correct diagnosis and treatment plan making. The treatment included pharmaceutical and surgical (duodenum-preserving pancreatic head resection) approaches. The patient showed tremendous improvement following the third discharge from the hospital and is still free of any symptoms. In this case report, we discuss differential diagnosis and comprehensively examined the possibility of the development of pancreatitis caused by EBV infection, the possibility of autoimmune pancreatitis, and the possibility of hereditary pancreatitis. To confirm or rule out the first two etiologies, laboratory and pathology results were studied. We also performed exon sequencing using Agilent exome capture kit to rule out hereditary pancreatitis. The clinical course of this disease and the way it was handled deserves attention so that similar cases receive prompt treatment.
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Introduction

Chronic active Epstein-Barr virus (CAEBV) infection is a very rare and potentially life-threatening illness caused by long-term EBV infection. Globally, the prevalence is the highest among young children and adolescents with increased frequency in Asians and Native Americans, whereas it is sporadically encountered in European countries. Typically, these patients have unspecific symptoms such as fever, lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, and liver dysfunction (1).

We report a case of a 3-year-old boy diagnosed with CAEBV infection followed by pancreatic mass and recurrent pancreatitis. Due to the difficult clinical course of this patient’s illness and complex diagnosis making, specialists from various departments were consulted. The multidisciplinary approach was crucial for the correct identification of the etiology of pancreatitis and pancreatic mass and selecting the most suitable treatment option. We present the following case in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-21-154).
Case presentation

A 3-year-old Chinese boy was admitted to our hospital with fever, decreased appetite, and dry cough. He was diagnosed with upper respiratory disease in the local hospital and treated with antibiotics without any sign of improvement. His past medical and family histories were unremarkable. The physical examination revealed throat congestion, mild tonsillitis, cervical lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, and skin rash mostly on the face. No abnormalities were found on chest auscultation.

Laboratory findings on admission included a decreased lymphocyte count of $2.71 \times 10^9$ (lymphocytes: 61%, neutrophils: 28%), hemoglobin of 101 g/L, CRP of 6 mg/L, ALT of 116 U/L, AST of 127 U/L, and LDH of 1,174 U/L. EBV was detected in different specimens (blood serum and bone marrow) using DNA analysis (Table 1). EBV antibody test results were as follows: EBNA-IgG positive (2.67), EBVCA-IgG positive (108.67 U/mL), EBVCA IgM negative. Chest X-ray, ECG, and echocardiography did not show any abnormalities. MRI scan of the head showed abnormal plaques in the deep white matter of the left frontal lobe. Ultrasonography supported physical examination findings: hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, enlarged splenic hilum lymph nodes, and bilateral cervical lymph nodes (right: 2.5 cm ×1.3 cm, left: 1.4 cm ×0.8 cm).

The final diagnosis included EBV infection with viral encephalitis and bone marrow involvement was made. The patient received IVIG (10 g/dose, qd, 2 days), ganciclovir with glycyrrhizin, and methylprednisolone (1 g/kg, qd, 2 days). AST and ALT normalized after 12 days. The patient was then discharged from the hospital with prednisone.

About 1.5 months later, he was readmitted due to fever and abdominal pain. Apart from high serum EBV DNA level ($4.97 \times 10^4$ copies/mL), only CRP and ALT were elevated (17 mg/L and 52 U/L, respectively). Abdominal ultrasound detected a hypoechoic mass (2.5 cm ×2 cm ×2.3 cm) in the head of the pancreas, pancreatic head compression, and cervical lymphadenopathy. MRCP scan located the mass in the uncinate process (Figure 1).

Based on these results, we consulted pediatric surgeons that decided to proceed with duodenum-preserving pancreatic head resection (end-to-end choledochojejunostomy and Roux-Y pancreatojejunostomy). Both pancreatic tissue and lymph nodes were harvested for pathology (Figure 2). The pathological report stated pancreatitis (EBER negative) and reactive hyperplasia of lymph nodes (several lymph nodes were EBER positive), IgG4 negative. The common bile duct was thickened with inflammatory cell infiltration and tissue fibrosis. One week after surgery, pancreatic pseudocysts were discovered on MRI.

The patient consecutively received roxifen 0.9 g qd, sulperazone 0.85 g bid, and sulperazone 160 mg qh. After the abdominal pain was alleviated and the laboratory results normalized, the patient was discharged from the hospital.

After 6 months, the patient came again with chief complaints of abdominal pain and vomiting (>10 times a day). His vitals were normal, and general physical examination did not reveal any abnormalities. Laboratory findings included liver enzymes and CBC within normal range, CRP 41 mg/L, serum amylase 924.5 U/L, serum lipase 2,244.8 U/L, urinary amylase 13,780 U/L, serum EBV DNA negative, antinuclear and antineutrophil antibodies negative. Ultrasonography showed enlarged pancreas and proximal common bile duct slight dilatation. MRCP indicated an absence of pancreatic head with enlarged pancreatic body and tail. Based on ultrasonography and MRCP results, the final diagnosis of recurrent pancreatitis and postoperative cystic lesion at the pancreatic head was made. The treatment was largely symptomatic and consisted of fasting, rehydration, etc. Abdominal pain and vomiting quickly resolved, and 1 week later the patient resumed an ordinary diet. The patient showed tremendous improvement following the third discharge and is still free of any symptoms.

Agilent exome capture kit used to sequence exons produced paired-end sequencing data with an average depth of >100×. Each read was 2×150 bp, and thus 11.9 million read pairs were generated. We included: (I) 6 genes most frequently found in association with acute pancreatitis and hereditary pancreatitis; (II) 84 genes related to acute pancreatitis and hereditary pancreatitis found on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Viral load (copy/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 14</td>
<td>Blood serum</td>
<td>1.45×10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 19</td>
<td>Blood serum</td>
<td>8.94×10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 21</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 21</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>2.57×10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 24</td>
<td>Blood serum</td>
<td>2.40×10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 31</td>
<td>Blood serum</td>
<td>3.17×10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 3</td>
<td>Blood serum</td>
<td>1.8×10^4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 MRCP scan showing the lesion in the uncinate process of the pancreas (A) mild dilatation of the bile duct and the pancreatic duct (B).

Figure 2 Pathology results. First routine H&E staining was performed, then Envision™ double stain was applied for staining CD68, AFP, and IgG4, after which EBER in situ hybridization stain was used. (A) Pancreatic necrosis (50×); (B) pancreatic EBER negative (100×); (C) some EBER positive cells (arrow) of peripheral lymph nodes (400×).

PubMed and relevant databases with independent data-mining algorithm; (III) 210 immune-related genes with high reference value sorted by Invitae and other testing companies; (IV) 3,874 genes from OMIM database; (V) 59 key clinically common genes recommended by American Genetic Society. No clear connection between CAEBV and recurrent pancreatitis was established. The genetic cause of the disease is unknown.

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Discussion
The clinical manifestations of our patient on the first admission included fever, symptoms of upper airway infection, and cervical lymphadenopathy that correlate with nonspecific EBV infection (1).

CAEBV alters CD8 cell function making it impossible to clear B cells infected by EBV, leading to a chronic active infection (2). EBV can affect not only B cells but also T cells and NK cells and may induce lymphoma and
lymphoproliferative diseases (3). The patient initially suffered from EBV infection, but whether it was CAEBV remains controversial because of its poor prognosis whereas the child’s condition was generally good. Nonetheless, most of our experts agree with the diagnosis of CAEBV. Moreover, this patient’s EBV-DNA copy number was highest in T cells after turning negative in serum, which suggests that T cells were more likely to be infected by EBV during the active phase of CAEBV.

EBV infection is known to lead to pancreatitis. However, postoperative pathology of pancreas showed EBER-negative cells, which makes a direct connection between EBV and pancreatitis inconclusive and supportive of autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP). But the diagnosis of AIP cannot be made because of normal serum IgG4 level and negative IgG4 on pathology (4). Thus, AIP type I can be excluded. Besides, it usually occurs in older men rather than children. Patients with AIP type II can have local tumor-like lesions with generally homogenous pancreatic lesions characterized by plasma cell/neutrophils/pancreatic duct epithelial cells infiltration (5). In our case, the middle part of the pancreatic head tumor was hypoechoic, and the pathology result was not coherent with AIP type II as no infiltration of neutrophils in the pancreatic duct and no damage to ductal epithelium were found.

Pancreatic surgery was advised because of the presence of symptoms such as abdominal pain, elevated pancreatic lipase, and dilatation of both common bile and pancreatic ducts. Surgery excludes any possible malignancies. The postoperative pathology report did not reveal any tumor cells. It was suggestive of possible chronic pancreatitis due to pancreatic tissue fibrosis. Chronic pancreatitis in children is often caused by congenital anatomic abnormalities. However, MRCP did not reveal the presence of any pancreatic or biliary tree anomalies. Recurrent pancreatitis was immediately suspected on the third admission because of characteristic presentation: abdominal pain, vomiting, significantly elevated blood amylase and lipase, and pancreatic tail exudation on ultrasound. Hereditary pancreatitis is linked to mutations in PRSS1, CFTR, SPINK1, CTSB, CASR, PSP/Reg, etc. (6-9). But no genes associated with hereditary pancreatitis were found.

There are many explanations of the connection between EBV infection and pancreatitis. Firstly, it may be a direct invasion of EBV, but no evidence was found on pathological examination. Secondly, there is a possibility of EBV invasion of the liver and subsequent immune changes that lead to pancreatitis. It is theoretically possible but cannot explain the second pancreatitis onset. Also, specific of the surgery can rule out the biliary etiology of pancreatitis. Thirdly, EBV infection may induce the release of cytokines and inflammatory mediators, causing inflammation of the pancreas. Finally, pancreatitis could be attributed to genetic causes, but the existence of related gene mutations is unclear.

**Conclusions**

Long-term follow-up is necessary in this case. In addition, further validation of parental genotyping and further analysis of the immune status of the child by more detailed lymphocyte-subset function tests (including T-lymphocyte proliferation tests) are needed. If pancreatitis recurs, a pancreatic stent needs to be placed. The patient’s parents were content with the treatment and said that “their baby went back to normal status”.
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