Introduction

Accurate and continuous measurement of cardiac output (CO) is an important part of evaluating the cardiovascular status in newborns, especially in patients with unstable hemodynamics. However, pulse profilometry, Fick’s technique, and pulmonary artery catheter’s thermodilution, while considered acceptable for measuring CO, are invasive and much more traumatic for neonates (1).

Electrical cardiometry (EC), based on thoracic electrical bioimpedance, can measure CO continuously and non-invasively. The EC’s working principle of estimation of CO is to utilize changes in thoracic electrical impedance, which is mainly influenced by erythrocyte orientation and peak flow velocity in the ascending aorta throughout the...
cardiac cycle. Before the aortic valve opening, erythrocytes are randomly orientated, resulting in increased impedance and decreased conductance. However, erythrocytes align in a parallel fashion with pulsatile blood flow, which results in less impedance and greater conductivity. The difference in thoracic conductance during the cardiac cycle allows for the calculation of CO (2).

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), as a non-invasive method to assess CO, has been widely used in clinical practice despite being noncontiguous and technically demanding for echocardiographic technicians (3). Several common qualitative measurements can be used to estimate the stroke volume of the left ventricular (LV), mainly including the Simpson formula, Teichholz formula, the product of trans-aortic valve flow velocity time integral (VTI), and aortic valvar area (4). Although using color Doppler to measure VTI is the most common method in most neonatal and pediatric studies, it is limited to aligning with the LV outflow, placing the sample volume, and measuring the LV outflow tract area (5). In both children and newborns, the percentage shortening fraction and ejection fraction (based on the measurement of LV dimensions in diastole and systole by M-mode) are the general parameters to assess LV systolic function (4,5).

Moreover, M-mode echocardiography (Teichholz formula) has higher temporal resolution and can be easily performed with any echocardiographic system (5). For the reasons above, M-mode echocardiography is the routine method for CO measurement in The Children’s Hospital of Fudan University (our hospital). However, there have been few studies on its correlation and consistency with EC. Therefore, this study explores the correlation and consistency between EC and M-mode echocardiography in neonates. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-21-20).

Methods

Participants

This prospective observational study was conducted in the Neonatal Department of The Children’s Hospital of Fudan University (our hospital) from April 2018 to December 2018. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by the ethics committee of Children’s Hospital of Fudan University (No. 2015-170) and informed consent was taken from all the participants.

All neonates, with or without patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) and different types of respiratory support, who were admitted to the Neonatal Department and received the M-mode echocardiography were considered eligible for the study. Exclusion criteria included infants with congenital heart defects (except for small atrial septal defects or a patent foramen ovale), right-to-left shunt PDA, inability to tolerate adhesive skin leads, or the presence of major congenital anomalies. Moreover, infants who were too ill to tolerate additional clinical procedures (including the operation of EC) were excluded.

Several factors influence the potential hemodynamic significance of left-to-right shunting across the ductus arteriosus. Assessment of the hemodynamic significance of PDA (hsPDA) remains difficult. In this study, hsPDA was defined as ductal diameter $\geq$ 1.4 mm/kg, or left atrial/aortic root ratio (LA/Ao) $\geq$ 1.4 in the group of premature infants (14); for term ones, the ductal diameter was $\geq$ 2 mm in infants (6). Furthermore, the respiratory conditions were divided into three groups. These included spontaneous respiration, nasal continuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP), and mechanical ventilation. In addition, the neonates were divided into a low birth weight group (LBW, birth weight <2,500 g) and a non-low birth weight group (non-LBW, birth weight $\geq$ 2,500 g).

Echocardiography

TTE was performed by employing the Vivid E9 (GE Healthcare, Wauwatosa, WI, USA). All echocardiograms were performed by technicians who had been trained and board-certified in pediatric echocardiography. All measurements were averaged with 3 or more consecutive cardiac cycles. M-mode echocardiography was based on measuring the short axial diameter (D) of the LV. According to record the course of sampling line of M-mode echocardiography through the LV cavity at the level of the chordae tendineae, the short axial D of the LV at the end-diastole and end-systole were obtained, respectively. This was followed by calculating the LV end-diastolic volume and end-systolic volume, according to the internal algorithm of the echocardiography instrument and using the following equation (15):
Teichholz equation \[ D = \frac{7D^2}{2.4} + D \] [1]

LV cardiac output (COm) was calculated using the formula:

\[ CO = \text{Stroke Volume} \times \text{Heart Rate}. \]

The diameter of ductal and shunting direction was measured by the color Doppler and LA/Ao by M-mode echocardiography.

**Electrical cardiometry**

An Aesculon® monitor (Osypka Medical, Berlin, Germany) was applied to record EC. Four standard surface electrocardiogram electrodes were placed over the participant's forehead, left side of the neck, left mid-axillary line at the level of the xiphoid process, as well as the left thigh. In order to be synchronized with M-mode echocardiography, EC was connected to the participant in advance. During the whole monitoring period, the participant had to be supine and quiet to guarantee a stable signal. The signal was accepted when the signal quality bar was green, and the signal quality was greater than or equal to 80%. The time frequency of each CO (COec) value generated by EC was 15 seconds. Thus, about 20 COec could be obtained in the whole measurement process. While the technician performed TTE on the participant, another operator completed EC measurements simultaneously. To achieve double blindness, neither the echocardiographic technician nor the EC operator was aware of each other's measurements during the monitoring period. Afterward, another researcher was assigned to collate the average value of COec by using Osypka Medical software, and the value of COm could be obtained by reviewing the echocardiography records.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS statistical packages, version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MedCalc.Ink (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). Continuous variables were presented as median (interquartile range) or mean ± standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables as percentages. Corresponding values of COec and COm were tested with a paired t-test. The values of COec and COm were converted to Z-scores, according to the following formula:

\[ Z_{-\text{scores}} = \frac{(CO_{i,n} - CO_{\text{mean}})}{SD_{i,n}} \] [2]

Pearson's coefficient analyzed the linear correlation of the two methods. Bland–Altman analysis (16) was used to evaluate the consistency between COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores. The results were interpreted by the Bland-Altman index (16), which was defined as the percentage difference between COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores falling beyond the limit of agreement (LOA). The LOA was defined as bias (the mean difference between COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores) ± 1.96 SD. The Bland-Altman index value did not exceed 5%, indicating a good consistency between the COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores. CO was presented as L/min. The P value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Demographic characteristics**

We enrolled 136 participants in this study. A total of 155 pairs of EC and M-mode echocardiography measurements were performed (Figure 1). The clinical characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. There were 97 paired CO measurements in the LBW group, 58 paired in the non-LBW group, 46 paired in the hsPDA group, and 109 paired in the non-hsPDA group. There were 96 paired measurements in spontaneous respiration, 25 paired in nCPAP, and 34 paired in mechanical ventilation (11 of which paired in conventional mechanical ventilation, and 23 of which paired in high-frequency oscillatory ventilation).

**Correlation between COec Z-scores and COm Z-scores**

The mean values of COec and COm were (0.47±0.23) L/min and (0.82±0.33) L/min (P<0.001). The correlations between COec Z-scores and COm Z-scores were statistically significant in both the overall participant population (r=0.601; P<0.001) (Figure 2) and other subgroups (Table 2). The correlation coefficients of COec Z-scores and COm Z-scores were similar between hsPDA and non-hsPDA groups (r=0.570 and 0.572, respectively; both P<0.001) (Table 2).

**The consistency of COec Z-scores and COm Z-scores**

Figure 3 presents the bias and LOA by Bland–Altman plot.
Overall, the Bland-Altman index value was 3.2% (5/155), indicating a good consistency between COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores. The Bland-Altman index values were 2.1% (2/97), 3.4% (2/58), 3.1% (3/96), 4.0% (1/25), 2.9% (1/34), 4.3% (2/46), and 3.7% (4/109) in the LBW, non-LBW, spontaneous respiration, nCPAP, mechanical ventilation, hsPDA, and non-hsPDA groups, respectively (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

There are a growing number of studies about the comparison of EC and TTE (via VTI) in neonates (6-13). Based on literature reviews, this study analyzed the correlation and consistency of CO values measured with EC and M-mode echocardiography in full-term and preterm neonates.

While multiple techniques exist for ventricular function, each has strengths and weaknesses that should be carefully considered to meet the needs of individual patients. M-mode shortening fraction and ejection fraction are some of the most commonly used methods for LV function (4,5). Estimates of ejection fraction by M-mode, real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography, and Simpson's modified 2-dimensional method were not of significant difference (17). From a practical point of view, M-mode

---

**Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (w), median [IQR]</td>
<td>34 [29.3–38.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm infants, n (%</td>
<td>93 (68.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g), median [IQR]</td>
<td>1873 [1,191–2,923]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>78 (57.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal day (d), median [IQR]</td>
<td>6 [2–14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g), median [IQR]</td>
<td>2065 [1,250–2,900]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section, n (%)</td>
<td>74 (54.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min Apgar score, median [IQR]</td>
<td>9 [8–10]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1** Workflow for patients involved in this trial. ASD, atrial septal defect; CTGA, complete transposition of great arteries; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

**Figure 2** Scatter diagram of COec Z-scores and COm Z-scores.
echocardiography has higher temporal resolution and is easy to operate. Therefore, M-mode, rather than color Doppler to measure aortic outflow, measures CO in our unit. This study found that the mean value of CO measured by M-mode echocardiography (0.82±0.33 L/min) was almost double measured by EC (0.47±0.23 L/min). This result was not surprising, as it was similar to a previous study showing that the Teichholz method overestimated the LV volume by approximately double compared to the real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography and Simpson’s modified 2-dimensional method (17). The relative inaccuracy of M-mode echocardiography may be attributed to the geometric modeling of chambers that acquire the single diameter of the LV base, which is incapable of representing the tapered LV morphology (15). This study recognized that the absolute values of CO measured by EC and M-mode echocardiography were not interchangeable (P<0.001).

Furthermore, there was also conflicting evidence on the consistency between EC and TTE (via VTI) (6-13). Since parameters may vary between different measuring methods, the values of CO were converted to Z-scores, and a good consistency was found between COec Z-scores and COm Z-scores. This result showed that the distribution of COec was similar to CO as measured by M-mode echocardiography. Previous studies have shown that hemodynamic parameters by EC are markedly different in neonates of various maturity and provide gestational age and birth weight-based reference for stroke volume and CO in hemodynamically stable neonates (9,18). Therefore, EC could be used as a supplement for M-mode echocardiography to monitor changes or development trends of CO in neonates.

The association between EC and TTE (via VTI) has been demonstrated in mixed neonatal populations (6,8-11). This study confirms that EC has a moderate correlation (r=0.601; P<0.001) with M-mode echocardiography. Boet et al. (9) showed that the correlation of CO measured by EC and TTE (via VTI) remains significant after adjusting for PDA in preterm infants. The study carried out by Song et al. (12) demonstrated that the correlation between EC and TTE (via VTI) is independent of the presence of hsPDA in preterm infants. Noori et al. (6) and Hsu et al. (8) concluded that the consistency between EC and TTE (via VTI) is independent of the confounding effect of hsPDA in neonates, but Torigoe et al. (10) and Blohm et al. (11) presented opposing views. In this study, the correlation coefficients between EC and M-mode echocardiography were similar in hsPDA and non-hsPDA groups. Although the Bland-Altman index values were less than 5% in hsPDA and non-hsPDA groups, the bias between COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores was positive in the former group. This result may be related to the different algorithms of CO between the two methods. As previously mentioned, EC measurements were based on the changes in thoracic impedance, which were mainly affected by the modifications of the volume and erythrocyte orientation in major branches of the aorta. As the main branch of the aorta, the more obvious the left-to-right shunt was, the more significant the hemodynamic significance was, leading to further compensatory increase of the LV output and secondary increase of pulmonary blood flow. Nevertheless, M-mode echocardiography measures the short axial diameter of the LV base before the PDA.

What is more, EC and M-mode echocardiography were compared in participants with diverse respiratory conditions. It was found that COm Z-scores and COec Z-scores maintained a good consistency in nCPAP groups. This was in line with Blohm et al.’s (11) findings that nCPAP is not the factor affecting the comparison between EC and M-mode echocardiography in preterm infants. However, the influence of mechanical ventilation on EC and TTE measurements has been controversial in various literature reports. Torigoe et al. (10) and Grollmuss et al. (13) demonstrated that mechanical ventilators did not affect the consistency of the two methods in preterm neonates, but Hsu et al. (8) and Song et al. (12) reached opposite conclusions. In this study, the Bland-Altman index value of the ventilated group was clinically acceptable.

### Table 2 Correlation between the COec Z-scores and the COm Z-scores in different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>r-value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LBW</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-LBW</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spontaneous respiration</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nCPAP</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsPDA</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-hsPDA</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LBW, low birth weight; non-LBW, non-low birth weight; nCPAP, nasal continuous positive airway pressure; hsPDA, hemodynamic significance of the patent ductus arteriosus; non-hsPDA, no hemodynamic significance of the patent ductus arteriosus.
Further research is necessary to investigate and analyze the ventilator-associated influence on EC.

Limitations

There were some limitations in this study. Firstly, as this study's reference method, the M-mode echocardiography is not the gold standard of CO measurement (15). EC also has its limitations in measuring CO. Although this study adjusted the body mass calculation for neonates, the CO calculations of EC were based on the hemodynamic model in adults. Secondly, the consistency between the two compared methods could be improved by increasing the monitoring interval of measurements (19). Unfortunately, this study only had 1.14 replicates in individual infants. Thirdly, EC is easily applied, but studies of its value in clinical work are needed. Hemodynamic parameters, such as CO, total thoracic fluid (TFC), and stroke volume variation (SVV) provided by EC could be combined with other clinical data to detect hemodynamic abnormalities as well as assess the therapeutic effect and prognosis (20,21).

Conclusions

There was a significant correlation between EC and M-mode echocardiography, which could not be altered by

Figure 3 Bland-Altman graphs of C0ec Z-scores and C0m Z-scores. (A) Overall patients; (B) low birth weight (LBW); (C) non-low birth weight (non-LBW); (D) spontaneous respiration; (E) nasal continuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP); (F) mechanical ventilation; (G) hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus (hsPDA); (H) hemodynamically nonsignificant patent ductus arteriosus (non-hsPDA).
different ventilation supports or hsPDA in neonates. As a continuous, non-invasive monitoring method, EC can reflect the distribution of CO in M-mode echocardiography for neonates, although it is not comparable with M-mode echocardiography.
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