Introduction

The consequences of prenatal ultrasound screening for the detection of congenital heart diseases (CHD) are multiple (1,2). It is now currently offered to the majority of pregnant women in developed countries although the prenatal screening policies and detection rates vary significantly. The first impact for severe CHD, and the most intuitive for the parents, is the interrogation on the outcome of the pregnancy. Indeed, the diagnosis of a CHD raises the question to terminate or to continue the pregnancy, and this decision will depend on a variety of factors (3-5). We will discuss briefly these determinants of termination of pregnancy after prenatal diagnosis of a CHD.

Prenatal diagnosis may also improve treatment success by allowing appropriate organization of neonatal care. The first potential impact is reduction of neonatal mortality. Since this issue had been demonstrated in transposition of the great arteries, it has been widely claimed that prenatal diagnosis was key to organize delivery in an appropriate
center to prevent neonatal demise in critical defects (6–8). Today, this issue is a matter of debate without questioning the importance of fetal detection of CHDs.

The prenatal diagnosis of the majority of CHD has logically no significant impact on neonatal mortality because the anticipated physiology of the defect will not lead to neonatal demise (9). If mortality is not a pertinent end-point, morbidity is certainly an important outcome to scrutinize after prenatal diagnosis of CHD (10,11). Still, there are different types of morbidity, namely neonatal and late aftermaths. Further, the prevention of these morbidities can take different routes: in utero interventions, optimization of cardiac diagnosis, tailored post-natal management. We will examine these different issues in the present review.

### Prenatal diagnosis of CHD and termination of pregnancy: the impossible debate

When should termination of pregnancy be proposed after prenatal diagnosis of a CHD is hardly debatable in a review manuscript as the considerations vary largely from a country to another with regards to the legal and cultural environments of this issue (4). A combination of maternal and fetal factors will contribute to the decision to pursue or not the pregnancy. In addition, specific features of the CHD and potential associated anomalies will also have a direct impact on this decision-making process (12,13). The influence of prenatal diagnosis on the incidence of severe CHD is certain in many European countries (4,5). As an example, in the Paris registry, the proportion of termination of pregnancy for single ventricle was 63% in the late 1990s (5). A recent report from Norway also attests to care practices closely linked to the behavior and philosophical education of the population. The authors report a mortality of complex CHD—all surgical defects including large VSD—of 10%, with palliative care and termination of pregnancy accounting for 86% of the cases (14). This high proportion of termination of pregnancy led to a reduction of perinatal mortality as about one quarter of early neonatal deaths are due to congenital anomalies, and of these 25% are CHD in the EUROCAT registry regions (4). Qualifying this impact positive or negative is a philosophical issue. Another limitation for the interpretation of the impact of prenatal diagnosis of CHD on perinatal outcomes is the proportion of CHD that are diagnosed compared to the live birth incidence. If 100% of hypoplastic left heart syndromes are diagnosed prenatally and 50% of parents choose termination of pregnancy, the perinatal mortality—including fetal and neonatal—will be at least 50%, but if only 20% of hypoplastic left heart syndromes are detected prenatally and 100% are terminated, the perinatal mortality will be reduced! Reporting the impact of prenatal diagnosis of CHD as a whole or of specific CHDs will largely depend on the proportion of prenatal diagnosis, but also on treatment success in the concerned area. More, interpreting data that are not population-based for this purpose is largely inaccurate. Finally, detecting congenital anomalies can be considered a side-effect of a general policy of mother and fetal monitoring. The primary objective is definitely to detect any threat for the well-being of the fetus or of the mother and to organize delivery in optimal conditions. This approach would suggest that the only useful objectives of examining the fetal heart is diagnosing anomalies that can be improved by early treatment (i.e., fetal tachyarrhythmias) or modification of the delivery conditions. Considering this approach—another philosophical issue—, the whole debate on when are karyotyping or extensive screening for extra-cardiac malformations indicated is vain as it will not lead to fetal treatment or to change of delivery conditions. Conversely, a majority of physicians involved in fetal CHD management will consider that CHD can be associated with chromosomal anomalies and extracardiac anomalies, and will propose to search for these associations to optimize perinatal organization.

Of course, the association of a CHD with a high risk of neurodevelopmental delay due to a chromosomal anomaly will strongly influence the decision to terminate the pregnancy for some parents (15). However, this information might be of limited impact in some populations because of moral and/or religious beliefs (15,16). Fetal cardiologists will also influence the decision with their own beliefs. When these issues were debated 30 years ago, this led to combative exchanges by editorialists who did not hesitate to summon Kant in their papers or to humbly suggest that fetal ultrasound has a role in the future of humanity (17–19). For example, outcomes informations influence the decision of termination in univentricular hearts, i.e., dominant left ventricles are less terminated than dominant right ventricles while a higher mortality in dominant right ventricle has not been clearly shown in postnatal studies except for hypoplastic left heart syndrome (19,20). Corno elegantly discussed the different issues of prenatal diagnosis of univentricular hearts and the complexity of adequately counseling/guiding the parents without limiting their autonomy (21).
Impact of prenatal diagnosis on neonatal mortality

Health outcomes are improved when neonates with critical CHDs are detected before acute cardiovascular collapse. Both prenatal ultrasound screening and pulse oximetry are used to identify these babies at risk (22). Even though both of these methods are available in developed countries, their sensitivity remains insufficient and a significant proportion of critical CHDs are diagnosed late. Owing to the lack of accurate screening test that can detect critical CHDs, prenatal diagnosis can help to improve immediate outcomes through a better coordination of care and advanced planning. Nevertheless, there is conflicting data regarding the impact of prenatal diagnosis on early mortality (2,5,10,23-25). Historically, the mortality benefit of prenatal detection of hypoplastic left heart and transposition of the great arteries has been demonstrated in a few tertiary centers series, but these results have not been replicated consistently in population-based series (6,7,23-25). Again, the survival benefit of prenatal diagnosis varies with the type of defect. It is intuitive that neonates with restrictive foramen ovale will be in critical condition rapidly in simple transposition of the great arteries or in hypoplastic left heart syndrome (26). For these patients, the sooner the Rashkind procedure is performed, the better will be the preoperative mortality. The proportion of neonates who will require immediate Rashkind procedure in these two defects is limited and the contribution of these patients to the immediate mortality is predominant. The pragmatic issue here would be to detect these at-risk patients and to tailor advanced care according to prenatal characteristics that predict with a high sensitivity the need for a Rashkind procedure (27). Despite a large number of publications on prenatal anatomical and physiological characteristics of the foramen ovale as well as on prenatal risk scales, the organization of perinatal care largely depends on local considerations and on national health systems (28,29). Li et al. reviewed in a meta-analysis of 13 cohort studies the effect of prenatal diagnosis on mortality and concluded the it was effective in accelerating intervention for major congenital heart disease, but only resulted in a reduced perioperative mortality in cases of transposition of the great arteries (25). The decrease in mortality in the last 20 years in complex CHD may explain why prenatal detection does not improve overall mortality in CHD. This disappointing observation might be related to a combination of the effects of prenatal detection and other factors, including an increased awareness of CHD in the postnatal primary and secondary care setting, awareness of the importance or urgent transfer once a diagnosis is suspected, and improvements in care management before and during transfer (30).

Population-based study are rare and this complicates the interpretation of the existing literature as outcomes from specialized centers may not reflect those in the population of patients as a whole and be subject to transfer and/or survival bias. In addition, the mortality outcomes assessed are often limited to preoperative or short-term postsurgical mortality whereas longer-term mortality such as the overall infantile mortality has been assessed much less frequently (10). Some studies have even observed a worse outcome in neonates diagnosed prenatally (31,32). This disconcerting finding might be due to the fact that more critical varieties of CHD were more easily identified during ultrasound screening. These data imply that at least in the settings where specialized services are readily available, survival may no longer be the most relevant outcome, or the best criterion, for evaluating the impact of prenatal diagnosis on the outcome of CHD. The beneficial effects of prenatal diagnosis may be better sought by looking at morbidity or non-medical outcomes such as costs, social consequences and parental consequences (Figure 1).

Impact of prenatal diagnosis of CHD on morbidity

The early postnatal course of babies with critical CHD can be negatively impacted if diagnosis is delayed. As for mortality, there is inconsistent evidence to support the fact that prenatal diagnosis results in better perioperative outcomes. It has been demonstrated that prenatal diagnosis of CHD substantially shifts intervention to an earlier period of time for a given CHD (1,33). For transposition of the great arteries or hypoplastic left heart syndrome, early intervention is indicated for the prevention of further cardiac or neurological injuries due to hypoxia and harmful hemodynamics. Despite representing more severe lesions compared to postnatal diagnosis, prenatal diagnosis was associated with decreased use of mechanical ventilation, antibiotics, and emergent surgery (9). An analysis reviewed the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database to assess potential associations between prenatal diagnosis of CHD and the presence of well-defined pre-operative risk factors in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (33). The preoperative risk factors included shock,
mechanical ventilatory and circulatory support, and various organ failures. The authors demonstrated that prenatal diagnosis of critical CHD was associated with lower rates of major pre-operative risk factors. We had previously shown that prenatal diagnosis of transposition of the great arteries was associated with lower occurrence of metabolic acidosis and organ failure (6).

With regards to the risk of premature delivery, contradictory informations have also been given. Landis et al. showed that prenatal diagnosis was associated with increased odds of a scheduled delivery, and birth before a gestational age of 39 weeks (34). In the EPICARD study, Laas et al. found that overall preterm newborns with CHD, particularly those who were born at <35 weeks of gestational age, had a substantially higher risk of mortality than those born at term (37–41 weeks), but the same group showed that the higher risk of preterm birth in newborns with CHD, was essentially due to spontaneous labor and not scheduled delivery (35,36). The attempted route of delivery (vaginal or caesarian section) for fetal cardiac anomaly had no impact on neonatal morbidity while prenatal diagnosis reduced the need for invasive respiratory support (37).

Improvement of clinical condition at presentation might have an impact on quality of survival and, in particular, neurological morbidity. Mahle et al. showed that infants with a prenatal diagnosis of hypoplastic left heart syndrome had fewer adverse perioperative neurologic events when directly compared with infants diagnosed postnatally (38). Late neurological outcomes are also improved after prenatal diagnosis of transposition of the great arteries. Calderon et al. showed that neurocognitive deficits were more prevalent and more severe in children with a postnatal diagnosis of this CHD (39). Further, prenatal diagnosis was associated with better outcomes in executive functions in children of 5 years in the same cohort. These early and late outcomes are not surprising, given the severe circulatory collapse that can occur after postnatal ductal or foramen ovale constriction in neonates with these two defects (28). It is highly probable that improvement of preoperative conditions through prenatal diagnosis will improve quality of survival in children with critical CHD, and this starts to be proven in observational studies.

**Impact of prenatal diagnosis for management strategies**

The risk stratification after a prenatal diagnosis of CHD will lead to optimize the timing, mode, and site

---

*Figure 1* Different impacts of prenatal diagnosis of congenital heart diseases. Practical consequences follow the perinatal organization path with alternate route for the fetus and the parents before and after birth. These technical impacts are permanently associated with the psychological and social consequences of the prenatal diagnosis of the congenital heart disease as well as with the background problematic of cost-effectiveness depending on the local health care system.
of management with the aim to improve outcomes. The anticipated care is tailored according to the type of CHD and expected initial physiology (40). This evaluation of risk shall not be limited to the sole type of CHD but should take into account the variations for a given defect. As an example, the proportion of neonatal interventions after a fetal diagnosis of tetralogy of Fallot is low but this might have different causes: ducto-dependency with almost atretic right outflow tract or disconnected pulmonary artery branches (41). Planning to deliver all tetralogies of Fallot in tertiary centers is probably not recommended but the role of echocardiography is to identify, if possible, the factors that will modify management for each subset of CHD. In daily practice, expertise after prenatal screening for CHD not only leads to a precise diagnosis, but also allows tailored counselling that takes individual prognostic factors into account (42). The optimization of perinatal management will usually be planned by a multidisciplinary team with the role to ensure birth of affected infants into the appropriate level of care. It is important here to introduce humility in this risk stratification approach. Actually, we and others have shown that correcting fetal cardiac diagnosis after birth can lead to significant changes in neonatal and late care strategies (43). Clinicians should therefore be cautious when predicting required treatment and outcomes during pre-natal counseling.

Another important impact of prenatal diagnosis on management is the monitoring of evolutive cardiac anomalies. The most common situation is exaggerated left-right asymmetry of the ventricles and their corresponding concordant vessels during the second trimester of pregnancy that increases the risk for neonatal coarctation. But despite improvements in imaging technology, and sophisticated composite measurements of intracardiac and arch dimensions, derived ratios and Doppler interrogation, the diagnosis of coarctation of the aorta is still a challenging diagnosis to make in utero (44). True false negative cases cannot be clearly identified as this would suppose a systematic comprehensive assessment of cardiac left side dimensions at screening. False positive cases represent a fourth of neonates referred for left-right asymmetry and this suggests to improve the performance of this diagnosis prenatally. Cardiac monitoring is also important to optimize delivery conditions in other evolutive defects such as the Ebstein anomaly, pulmonary atresia with intact ventricular septum or aortic stenosis (45-47).

The final impact on management after a prenatal diagnosis of CHD is to indicate interventions to modify the supposed natural history of some lesions. The development of fetal interventions has somehow accompanied studies on the fetal natural history of evolutive defects. The CHDs for which intervention has been undertaken during fetal life include, critical aortic stenosis, pulmonary atresia, and hypoplastic left heart with intact atrial septum (48-50).

Indicating intervention to avoid the involution of the left ventricle and a neonatal physiology of hypoplastic left heart is still a matter of debate. The stakes are very high here as being wrong may mean the difference between a critically ill infant and a mild anomaly with limited consequences at birth (51). The lack of clear physiologic markers to predict these different outcomes is problematic, and no final guidelines have yet been proposed in this condition.

Neonates with hypoplastic left heart syndrome and atrial level restriction have a particularly poor prognosis (52). This obstruction to left atrial egress leads to arterialization of pulmonary veins, which may persist despite successful opening of the atrial septum and decompression of the left atrium before or after birth (53). The upcoming expansion of fetal cardiac interventions to ameliorate critically progressive fetal lesions intensifies the need to address issues about the adequacy of technological assessment and patient selection as well as the morbidity of those who undergo these procedures. Beside fetal interventions, maternal hyperoxygenation has been proposed to identify fetuses requiring postnatal intervention on the interatrial septum (54). There have also been anecdotal reports that maternal hyperoxygenation may result in growth of the left side of the heart including aortic arch, and studies are currently exploring this interesting issue (55,56). Further, maternal hyperoxygenation may have an impact on brain development in some CHD by resetting the brain perfusion heterogeneity and favoring brain oxygen consumption and growth (57).

Today, we are certainly in the preliminary phase of applying new treatment strategies in fetuses with CHD as designing such studies will be extremely complex and we will have to rely on observational and imperfect data to improve our practice.

Impact of prenatal diagnosis of CHD on health care costs

Cost is usually a neglected outcome in the ecosystem of prenatal screening and fetal echocardiography. It is however of importance as over half of the cost attributed to all birth defects combined is currently associated with the care of CHD in developed countries (58). Prenatal screening and
expertise of CHD are the first contributors to the costs. But, the impact of prenatal screening of CHD on health-care costs remains unclear. The health-care costs may decrease if prenatal diagnosis leads to fewer emergent transports and decreases morbidities associated with delayed diagnosis (6,7,34,59,60). Conversely, overall costs could also be augmented because of the supplementary additional prenatal procedures and expenses when previous delivery plans are modified. In utero transportation and competition among centers may change the area of referral. Finally, increased costs can be expected in institutions dealing with a large number of fetal CHD, and funds received by these institutions should be proportional to their needs. These issues should certainly be considered to identify ways in which to deliver care more efficiently.

Impact of prenatal diagnosis of CHD on familial functioning

The diagnosis of CHD in the fetus places the well-being of the entire family at risk as the burden of care increases vulnerability to major psychological and social disturbances. Immediately after the first announcement of the cardiac malformation, parents report elevated levels of anxiety, depression, and stress. Mental health problems in parents during the prenatal and early childhoods period will impact the emotional, behavioral, and developmental outcomes of affected children and potentially their siblings (61). Thus, appropriate counseling is mandatory to prevent or minimize the psychological consequences of prenatal diagnosis of CHD on parental stress (62). Clinical risk factors for neurodevelopmental impairment in children with CHD have been identified but most are medically necessary and not easily modifiable (63). In the context of prenatal diagnosis of CHD, psychological interventions, practice changes, and community supports may improve parent mental health problems and stress, and, consequently, impact the developmental and behavioral trajectories of these vulnerable children (15,64).

Conclusion

The common sense would conclude that prenatal diagnosis of CHD is useful in critical CHD such as hypoplastic left heart syndrome, transposition of the great arteries and total anomalous pulmonary venous return as it may accelerate interventions after birth and preserve long-term neurodevelopment. There is however no clear evidence for the benefit of prenatal detection of the vast majority of CHD for the fetus or the “unborn child”. Beside morbi-mortality and organization of perinatal care, the positive impact of prenatal diagnosis of CHD is even less obvious, i.e., for cost-effectiveness or parental psychological consequences. Nevertheless, this topic is at the complex frontier between congenital cardiology, health care organization, philosophy and metaphysic. There is no scientific truth in the field. Continuous sharing of clinical experience and interaction between various disciplines is stimulating and will certainly lead to increase knowledge and fruitful innovations in the future.
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