Introduction
Sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) is a general clinical term devised to gather a number of wide-ranging breathing problems occurring during sleep. For example, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) in children is defined as a disorder of breathing during sleep, characterized by prolonged partial upper airway obstruction and/or intermittent complete obstruction (obstructive apnea) which disrupts normal ventilation during sleep and normal sleep patterns (1). Frequently reported symptoms are sleep apneas and snoring.

Children SDB symptoms may include multiple phenotypes depending on craniofacial anatomy, tonsil and adenoid dimensions, bodily habitus and rhinitis symptoms. Rather than being considered a homogenous disorder, SDB may hence include multiple overlapping phenotypes, each characterized by virtually unique risk factors (2). Obese children display higher prevalence and severity of OSA (3). Notably, craniofacial disharmony in skeletal diseases...
is associated with SDB (4,5) and the prevalence of this problem in children has been shown to be worryingly high (5,6).

The American Academy of Pediatrics has published guidelines for diagnosis and management of OSAS, in 2002 (7). Since overnight polysomnography (PSG) remains the gold standard for diagnosing OSAS, diagnostic efficiency largely depends on availability and accessibility of this technique. Earlier observations supported the validity of domiciliary respiratory polygraphy (RP) in children with history and physical examination suggestive of OSAS (8). The combined use of sleep clinical record score and nocturnal oximetry has moderate success in predicting SDB severity when PSG testing is not a choice (9).

Recent evidence attests that the number of published studies on SDB is steadily increasing over time, as demonstrated by a search on two biomedical on-line databases (Pubmed and Scopus) using the search terms ‘sleep apnea’ and ‘children’ (Figure 1).

Google Trends is a freely accessible and reliable online portal, which allows to explore Internet search data. Due to the focus on childhood SDB in published research, and because of its impact on health and wellbeing, we hence planned an original study aimed at investigating the worldwide popularity of the most common sleep signs (i.e., apnea and snoring) in paediatrics.

**Methods**

Two electronic searches were carried out in Google Trends, using the keywords ‘sleep’ AND ‘sleep apnea’, and ‘sleep’ AND ‘snoring’ respectively (10), by the search criterion ‘health’, ‘diseases’, ‘hospital and sanitary services’ and ‘pediatric’. Language limitation included English only and searches were performed from the first date available in Google Trends (i.e., January 1st, 2004) to the present time (i.e., September 22nd, 2018). As for the Google Trends algorithm, results were then combined and scaled according to the peak of popularity (i.e., a value of 100) recorded throughout the search period. Data were tabulated in Microsoft® Excel Software and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to explore normality of distribution.

Descriptive statistics as mean and standard deviation (SD) were used as well as a non-parametric test for coupled variables was performed to explore differences between scores of the same categories (‘sleep’ or ‘sleep apneas’ or ‘snoring’) resulting from different search criterion (‘health’, ‘diseases’, ‘hospital and sanitary services’ and ‘pediatric’).

Spearman correlation assisted in exploring possible correlations between the keywords scores in paediatrics against the search terms criteria.

SPSS 22.0 statistics software for Windows provided the statistical analysis. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and
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Table 1 Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search criterion variables</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Hospital and sanitary services</th>
<th>Pediatrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>67.0 (66.86–70.15)</td>
<td>69.0 (68.50–71.77)</td>
<td>59.0 (60.55–64.39)</td>
<td>53.0 (51.45–55.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apneas</td>
<td>10.0 (10.74–11.22)</td>
<td>13.0 (13.85–14.58)</td>
<td>4.0 (4.09–4.58)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.74–3.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snoring</td>
<td>7.0 (7.20–7.61)</td>
<td>10.0 (10.23–10.82)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.72–2.10)</td>
<td>0 (0.64–1.47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as median and 95% CI.

Figure 2 Distribution by time of interest (months; month 0 corresponds to January 1st, 2004) for sleep apneas (score) using the search criterion “health”, “disease”, “hospital and sanitary services” and “paediatrics”. Fit lines described polynomial grade 2 trend.

Results

Descriptive statistics (median and 95% CI) of the keywords ‘sleep’, ‘sleep apnea’ and ‘snoring’ resulting from the four criteria is shown in Table 1.

Whilst the Google Trends score for ‘sleep’ and ‘sleep apnea’ using ‘pediatric’ criteria were not normally distributed, the key word ‘sleep’ coupled with the search criterion “paediatrics” (P=0.20) was normally distributed.

Figures 2 and 3 show the distribution over time of Google searches interest for sleep (score) and sleep apneas (score) respectively, using all four search criteria. Finally, Figure 4 shows the distribution over time of searches for snoring (score) using the same search criteria. All lines in the figures represent polynomial grade 2 equations.

Furthermore, Table 2 shows the non-parametric test between sleep, sleep apneas and snoring scores related to the search criteria. A statistically significant difference is apparent in the value of these variables. In particular, the trend of popularity of the keywords “sleep”, “sleep apneas” and “snoring” limited to pediatric age was significantly lower than that in the general population, thus suggesting that the online relative interest exercised on Google Searches is much lower in children than in adults.

Table 3 shows Spearman’s correlation analysis among “sleep”, “sleep apneas” and “snoring” score in pediatrics with others search criteria. Sleep score in pediatrics was positively correlated with others search criteria. On the contrary, “sleep apneas” and “snoring” score in pediatrics did not correlate with other search criteria, with only exception of the correlation between snoring and “hospital and sanitary service”. Notably, this last correlation was

under the terms of relevant local legislation.
Figure 3 Distribution by time of interest (months; month 0 corresponds to January 1st, 2004) for snoring (score) using the search criterion “health”, “disease”, “hospital and sanitary services” and “paediatrics”. Fit lines described polynomial grade 2 trend.

Figure 4 Number of publications by year available using the principal online databases (Pubmed and Scopus).
Table 2 Non parametric test for coupled variables between Google Trends scores (sleep, sleep apneas, snoring) available in “pediatric” domain versus scores of “health”, “disease” and “hospital and sanitary service” domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Pediatrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and sanitary services</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apneas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and sanitary services</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and sanitary services</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Spearman correlation analysis (two tails) between Google Trends scores (sleep, sleep apneas, snoring) available in “pediatric” domain versus scores of “health”, “disease” and “hospital and sanitary service” domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Pediatrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>r=0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>r=0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and sanitary services</td>
<td>r=0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apneas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>r=−0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>r=−0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and sanitary services</td>
<td>r=0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>r=0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>r=0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and sanitary services</td>
<td>r=−0.169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

negative, thus suggesting a relatively low interest for this symptom combined with “hospital and health services” as search criterion.

Figure 5 shows the scores of popularity of “sleep apneas” around the world associated with “sleep disorder” (Figure 5A). Interestingly, US, Canada and Australia appear at the top of the list by number of searches grouped by country. Conversely, the same data show that in terms of popularity, Canada and US appear at the bottom of list for number of searches by country for “sleep apneas” around the world coupled with “pediatric” (Figure 5B).

Discussion

Recent meta-analysis of published studies which calculated an overall prevalence of habitual snoring of 7.5% (95% CI: 5.8–9.6%) in childhood, leaves experts in no doubt that SDB is a silent epidemic in the pediatric population (11). Owing to frequency and morbidity, several official or evidence-based guidelines now recommend screening, monitoring and treating OSA in the pediatric population (1,12,13). Albeit the prevalence of OSAS (OSAS) seemingly ranges between 0.1% and 13%, most studies reported a peak frequency comprised between 1–5% (1,11), which makes it a relatively common disease that most clinicians are likely to encounter in primary practice (1). In 2016, 3.95 million births were reported in the US (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr67/nvsr67_01.pdf) whilst 473,438 births were recorded in the Italian Resident Population Register (ISTAT, https://www.istat.it/en/archivio/206609). This set of data would lead to estimate that the predictable number of children who are likely to develop OSAS later in life is approximately are 39,500 in the US and 4,730 in Italy, respectively.

Sleep apnea in children may develop with subtle and often overlooked symptoms, thus imposing larger diagnostic challenges than in adults and necessitating more thorough investigations. Children may have resistance to morning waking, be more irritable, have trouble paying attention at school, or even be overactive during the day.
Many studies showed the benefits of early management on this condition on many behavioural, neurologic and medical domains (14-17). The treatment of OSA may be both medical and surgical. Although OSAS seems to be improved postoperatively, the rate of patients with residual OSAS ranges between 13% and 29% in low-risk populations, up to 73% in studies in obese children and in those applying more stringent PSG diagnostic criteria (18).

Google Trends is a free web tools based exclusively on Google searches, which then generates geographical and temporal information on specific search terms (19). Recent evidence suggests that the use of Google Trends may be of value in healthcare, since it may allow to garner important information on disease epidemics and population health. It essentially mirrors the public interest in a certain argument, whose final score may also be influenced by media clamor (20,21).

To the best of our knowledge although Google Trends has also been used in pediatrics before, no reliable information concerning this practice has been published on childhood respiration thus far. Google Trends search trends have been shown to reliably mirror real-life epidemiology of certain diseases, e.g., allergic rhinitis. More specifically, different search terms were found to display a clear seasonality trend (e.g., “hay fever”, “allergy”, “pollen”) (22). Interestingly, a previous study exploring the popularity of OSAS (i.e., by using the search terms “snoring” and “sleep apnea”) in US and Australia, showed a significant seasonal pattern of such searches, with a clear peak in the winter and early spring (10).

The most interesting finding emerged from the present analysis is that the popularity of “sleep apnea” (Figure 5) and “snoring” was lower in pediatrics than in the general population, even using the “heath and sanitary service” search criterion. Albeit the overall popularity of “sleep” and “childhood sleep” appeared comparable, that of sleep apnea and snoring did not correlate with the same variable (sleep apnea and snoring) related to childhood. These findings do not appear aligned with the interest from international scientific community, as attested by the relative number of publications available in PubMed and Scopus online databases (Figure 1).

The different popularity of sleep apnea and snoring in adults and children deserves further scrutiny. In adult populations the popularity for SDB has consistently increased by time, mainly due to legislative acts and enforcement thereof on the roads, work place etc. For example, on 1st July 2014, the European Union has approved a new directive on issuing and renewing driving licenses, introducing important limitations for patients suffering from nocturnal sleep apnea. In short, the directive fully acknowledges and ratifies the importance of recognizing chronic pathologies such as OSAS, mandating medical consultation while obtaining or renewing the driving license. It is mandatory in all States and Territories in Australia to report health conditions that may impair driving ability, also including sleep disorders. Alas, no official guidance on screening and/or diagnosing OSAS before obtaining or renewing the dive licence has been issued by the US Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA).

Figure 5 Geographical distribution of web searches recorded by Google Trends from January 1st, 2004. (A) Interest for “sleep apnea” in using criterion “sleep disorder” (best scores: US =100, Australia and Canada =81); (B) interest for “sleep apnea” in using criterion “pediatric” (best scores: Bahrain =100, Serbia =61, New Zealand =18, Canada =16 and US =8).
With regards to the children population, there appears to be a sort of neglectful attitude by the clinical community, which is reflected by the exponentially scarce mention both in legal literature and in the media. Sadly, alongside other sleep problems in children, SDB continues to be under-recognised by clinicians in both primary and secondary care. Encouragingly, some circumscribed awareness campaigns were successful to enhance the parental knowledge of this condition in the short-term, but the effects did not last for long on follow-up (23).

Conclusions

The results of this analysis suggest that, if on the one hand there is as steady trend of ongoing research on the topic for the adults’ population, the popularity of pediatric “sleep apnea” and “snoring” remains low around the world, and consistently so over time. This is serious cause for concern, since the low popularity of SDB in children is by default a call for action in terms of educational programs aimed at increasing widespread awareness, diagnosis and management of this condition among clinicians and the wider public.
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